1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 6 7 TOBY KEVIN ANDERSON, CASE NO. C13-5893 RJB/KLS Petitioner, 8 ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S 9 v. MOTIONS FOR COUNSEL AND **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** PATRICK GLEBE, 10 Respondent. 11 12 Before the Court are Petitioner's motions for the appointment of counsel and for an 13 evidentiary hearing. ECF Nos. 8 and 9, respectively. Under separate Order, the Court has 14 directed service of the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The petition has not yet been served on 15 Respondent and the time for Respondent to file an answer to the petition has not yet passed. 16 Having carefully reviewed Petitioner's motion, the Court **ORDERS** as follows: 17 There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2254, 18 unless an evidentiary hearing is required or such appointment is "necessary for the effective 19 utilization of discovery procedures." See McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991); United 20 States v. Duarte-Higareda, 68 F.3d 369, 370 (9th Cir. 1995); United States v. Angelone, 894 F.2d 21 1129, 1130 (9th Cir. 1990); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983); Rules 22 Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 6(a) and 8(c). The Court also 23 may appoint counsel "at any stage of the case if the interest of justice so require." Weygandt, 24 718 F.2d at 754. In deciding whether to appoint counsel, however, the Court "must evaluate the

likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims 2 pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." *Id.* 3 Petitioner has not requested that he be allowed to conduct discovery in this matter, nor does the Court find good cause for granting him leave to do so at this stage of the proceedings. 5 See Rule Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 6(a). In addition, the Court has not determined that an evidentiary hearing will be required in this case, nor does it 6 appear one is needed at this point. See Rule Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States 7 District Courts 8(c). Petitioner has not shown that his particular conditions of confinement are 8 such that "the interests of Justice" require appointment of counsel. 10 Accordingly, Petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 8) and motion for an evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 9) are **DENIED**. 11 12 The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Petitioner. 13 DATED this 28th day of October, 2013. 14 15 United States Magistrate Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24